

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,726		01/11/2001	Gary H. Fenton	33038	8445	
116	7590	12/30/2003		EXAMINER		
PEARNE		-	KIDWELL, MICHELE M			
1801 EAST SUITE 120		EEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-	44114-3108	3761	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_					<b>≫</b> F				
		Ap	oplication No.		Applicant(s)					
			9/758,726	•	FENTON, GARY H.					
•	Office Action Summary	Ex	caminer		Art Unit					
			chele Kidwell		3761					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears	s on the cover she	eet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI asions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply with  statutory period will ap  sty will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, in the statutory minimum ply and will expire SIX (to the application to become the properties of the section to become the section that th	may a reply be tim n of thirty (30) days 5) MONTHS from ome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 10 Octob	<u>oer 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action	on is non-final.							
3)										
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	Claim(s) are subject to rest	action and/or ele	ection requiremen	It.						
Applicati	on Papers									
-	The specification is objected to by t									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		· · ·	_						
	Applicant may not request that any ob		•	-		ED 4 404/4)				
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected				•					
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to by the Exami	iner. Note the att	ached Office	Action of form?	10-132.				
•	•	m for forcian pri	ority under 25 II	C C S 110/o	) (d) or (f)					
a)[ * S 13)∐ A	Acknowledgment is made of a clai All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat see the attached detailed Office act acknowledgment is made of a claim	y documents ha y documents ha s of the priority o ional Bureau (P ion for a list of th for domestic pr	ive been received tive been received documents have CT Rule 17.2(a)) ne certified copies iority under 35 U	d. d in Application been received. s not received. S.C. § 119(6	on No ed in this National d. e) (to a provisiona	al application)				
3	nce a specific reference was includ 7 CFR 1.78. )					Data Sheet.				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se									
Attachment	t(s)				,					
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					
וווווון ביי (ט	manori Disclosule Statement(S) (F10-1449)	1 apel NU(5)	. 0, Othe							

Application/Control Number: 09/758,726

Art Unit: 3761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 1 – 10 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35.U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 15 – 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nowak et al. (US 4,834,731).

With respect to claim 11, Nowak et al. (hereinafter "Nowak") discloses a mounting disc for an ostomy pouch comprising a flexible plastic disc having a convex central body portion (26) and a surrounding annular rim (figure 3), a first foam disc having first and second faces (25) and having pressure-sensitive adhesive on each of said faces (col. 5, lines 15 – 24), said first foam disc having an outer diameter substantially corresponding to the outer diameter of said flexible plastic disc (figures 2 – 3), the second face of said first foam disc being adhered to the convex central body portion and the surrounding annular rim of the plastic disc (figures 2 – 3), a second

foam disc having first and second faces and having pressure-sensitive adhesive on said first face of said second foam disc (18), said second foam disc having an outer diameter greater than the outer diameter of the flexible plastic disc (figure 7), the second face of said second foam disc being adhered to the first face of said first disc (col. 4, lines 25 – 41), an adhesive skin barrier disc having an outer diameter substantially corresponding to the outer diameter of said second foam disc (17), said skin barrier disc being adhered to the first face of the second foam disc as set forth in figure 7.

With reference to claim 15, Nowak discloses a mounting disc including a removable protective film covering another face of the skin barrier disc (35) as set forth in figure 7.

With reference to claim 16, Nowak discloses an ostomy appliance having a mounting disc adapted to the periostomal skin surfaces of an ostomate comprising a mounting disc and an ostomy pouch, said ostomy pouch comprising a flexible plastic disc having a convex central body portion (26) and a surrounding annular rim (figure 3), a first foam disc having first and second faces (25) and having pressure-sensitive adhesive on each of said faces (col. 5, lines 15 - 24), said first foam disc having an outer diameter substantially corresponding to the outer diameter of said flexible plastic disc (figures 2 - 3), the second face of said first foam disc being adhered to the convex central body portion and the surrounding annular rim of the plastic disc (figures 2 - 3), a second foam disc having first and second faces and having pressure-sensitive adhesive on said first face of said second foam disc (18), said second foam disc having an outer diameter greater than the outer diameter of the flexible plastic disc (figure 7), the

second face of said second foam disc being adhered to the first face of said first disc (col. 4, lines 25 – 41), an adhesive skin barrier disc having an outer diameter substantially corresponding to the outer diameter of said second foam disc (17), said skin barrier disc being adhered to the first face of said second foam disc (figure 7), and said ostomy pouch comprising proximal and distal sheets of plastic film sealed at their peripheries, said proximal sheet having a stoma inlet portal therein, said inlet portal having a diameter substantially corresponding to an inside diameter of the annular rim of said flexible plastic disc, said annular rim being sealed to said proximal sheet about a zone surrounding said portal as set forth in figures 4 – 5.

With reference to claim 20, Nowak discloses a mounting disc including a removable protective film covering another face of the skin barrier disc (35) as set forth in figure 7.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 – 14 and 17 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nowak et al. as applied to claims 11, 15 – 16 and 20 above, and further in view of Leise, Jr. et al. (US 5,618,276).

The difference between Nowak and claims 12 and 17 is the provision that the mounting disc include diametrically opposed and radially extending mounting loops at a periphery of the flexible plastic disc.

Leise, Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Leise") teaches a mounting disc that includes diametrically opposed and radially extending mounting loops at a periphery of the flexible plastic disc as set forth in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the mounting disc of Nowak to provide diametrically opposed and radially extending mounting loops at a periphery of the flexible plastic disc because these mounting loops provide opening for receiving the connectors of a conventional support belt or strap as taught by Leise in col. 5, lines 3 – 18.

As to claims 13 – 14 and 18 – 19, absence of a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that Nowak does not disclose a mounting disc having first and second foam discs where a second face of the second foam disc is adhered to a first face of the first disc, the examiner disagrees. The applicant is presenting arguments to support the faces of the foam discs being directly

adhered or adjacently adhered. The claim limitations do not require the faces of the disc to be adjacent each other and the examiner contends that even if the faces are adhered to each other via other members (24,12), the second face of the second foam disc is still adhered to a first face of the first disc as required by the claim language.

In response to the applicant's argument that the foam layer is located on an ostomy appliance and the intermediate ring is located in a convex pressure ring assembly, the examiner contends that the applicant's claim language is open ended and may comprise additional elements including the appliance in which the ring is fastened to and completed by.

In response to the applicant's argument that the microporous patch has an outer diameter that is substantially larger than the outer diameter of the foam layer, the examiner disagrees. The examiner contends that the outer diameter is considered as the opening shown in figure 3, for example, which is in the middle of the ring assembly. In this instance, the diameter of the microporous patch substantially corresponds to the outer diameter of the second foam disc.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/758,726

Art Unit: 3761

Page 7

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Michele Kidwell

December 29, 2003

Kidwell

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINED